

Synthesis of Multiple Peptides on Plastic Pins

UNIT 9.7

Scanning protein sequences by bioassay for smaller bioactive peptide sequences requires a source of many peptides homologous with the parent protein sequence. This unit deals with one of the synthetic methods for making such sets of peptides (see Fig. 9.7.1). The key to preparing large numbers (hundreds to thousands) of synthetic peptides in a short time and at minimal cost is to use a parallel synthesis technique which is efficient and can be done on a small scale. The multipin technology is suitable because it can be performed without expensive synthesizers and it uses equipment available to most laboratories. Prior experience with organic synthesis techniques or peptide chemistry is useful but not essential. The products of synthesis by multipin technology are unpurified peptides which are useful as screening reagents and may also be used to prepare purified peptide on a small scale.

Most multipin techniques exploit the conventional 8×12 matrix layout of common microtiter equipment which simplifies handling of the synthesis, the products (peptides), and the test results. Computer assistance with synthesis and data analysis also speeds the cycle from designing the experiment through analyzing the results.

With multipin technology, peptides are synthesized in parallel on plastic "pins" (Fig. 9.7.2) to give sets of peptides suitable not only for B and T cell epitope scanning but also for other bioassays. Peptides can be either permanently bound to the surface of the plastic for direct binding assays, or they can be released into solution. There is a choice of N- and C-terminal peptide endings. For solution-phase peptides, the synthesis scale can be 1 or 5 μmol (for a 10-mer, ~1 mg or 5 mg, respectively). The preferred coupling/deprotection chemistry used is the milder 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc) protection scheme rather than the older *t*-butyloxycarbonyl (*t*-Boc) protection scheme (see UNIT 9.1), thus reducing the level of chemical safety risk arising from synthetic peptide chemistry.

This unit covers the strategy of the multiple peptide approach to biological scanning, the synthetic protocols, and the handling of peptides after synthesis—cleavage, preliminary purification, storage, and analysis (see Basic Protocol). It is specific for the multipin technique using equipment obtained from Chiron Technologies, although some of the approaches are applicable to other multiple synthesis techniques. Procedures for multipin equipment obtained from other suppliers may differ from the procedures described here, and the manufacturer's literature should be consulted. This unit also includes protocols for preparing Fmoc-protected solutions (see Support Protocol 1) and for acetylating (see Support Protocol 2) or biotinyling (see Support Protocol 3) synthesized peptides.

STRATEGIC PLANNING

For a protein whose primary structure is known, the conceptually simplest method of locating all the bioactive linear peptide sequences is to make all possible peptide subsets of the protein sequence and test them. If only selected parts of the sequence are synthesized, or only the predicted active parts, bioactive sequences could be missed. The use of a set of highly overlapping peptides likewise reduces the possibility that the most bioactive sequences might be missed because they are absent from the set. For example, a set of all overlapping 20-mers offset along the sequence by one residue at a time should capture the entire set of helper T cell epitopes, and this is a much more reliable approach than trying to predict motifs. In reality, a synthetic peptide scan through a protein is a compromise between the cost and effort in making and screening all peptides and the need for completeness. Thus, one worker may choose to make all overlapping 8-mers to

Peptides




Figure 9.7.1 Flow chart for multipin peptide synthesis.

Synthesis of Multiple Peptides on Plastic Pins

9.7.2




Figure 9.7.2 Apparatus for multipin peptide synthesis. (A) Assembled synthesis block with 96 gears (left) or 96 macrocrowns (right). (B) Components of the pin assembly. Components are either push-fitted together (e.g., legs or stems into the pin holder) or clipped on (gears or macrocrowns onto stems). All components are solvent-resistant plastic, either polyethylene, polypropylene, or copolymers of these two monomer types.

find the linear (continuous) B cell epitopes, and another may make 12-mers offset along the sequence by five residues for the same purpose. In each case, all sequences of eight residues from the protein are present in at least one peptide, but the latter approach requires only one-fifth the number of peptides.

Planning the Synthesis

Synthetic peptides are assembled by solid-phase synthesis one amino acid at a time, commencing with the C-terminal end of the peptide on the solid phase (see UNIT 9.1).

The assembly process, or coupling, requires activation of the α -carboxyl group of each incoming amino acid to make it reactive with the α -amino group of the growing peptide chain. To prevent unwanted polymerization or side reaction, reactive groups in each amino acid must be temporarily protected, and the protecting group removed before further reaction can be carried out. The protecting group on the α -amino function of the most recently added amino acid must be removed before another amino acid can be coupled to it, so the α -amino protection must be labile under conditions that do not remove side-chain protection. Later, the side-chain-protecting groups must be removable under conditions that do not attack the peptide bonds. The two common protecting group "schemes" are known as *t*-butoxycarbonyl (*t*-Boc) or 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc). The protecting group scheme currently recommended for multipin peptide synthesis is the milder Fmoc scheme, which is the only scheme described in this chapter.

Table 9.7.1 Types of Pins for Multipin Peptide Synthesis^a

Name	Linker ^b	Physical format ^c	Loading	Final form of peptide
NCP	Noncleavable	Gear	50 nmol	(N-capping)-PEPTIDE-linker-pin
MPS	AA ester	Macrocrown	5 µmol	(N-capping)-PEPTIDE-acid
MPS	Rink amide	Macrocrown	5 µmol	(N-capping)-PEPTIDE-amide
DKP	DKP-forming	Gear	1 µmol	(N-capping)-PEPTIDE-DKP
GAP	Glycine ester	Gear	1 µmol	(N-capping)-PEPTIDE-glycine-acid

^aAbbreviations: DKP, diperazone; GAP, glycine acid peptide; MPS, multiple peptide synthesis; NCP, noncleavable peptide; (N-capping), a free amine, acetyl group, or biotin; PEPTIDE, the sequence of the peptide being made.

^bNature of linker between peptide and graft polymer on the pin: noncleavable linker, β-alanine-hexamethylenediamine; DKP, diperazone; AA ester, amino acid ester; Rink amide, Rink amide-forming linker.

^cSee Figure 9.7.2B.

Before beginning to plan the actual synthesis in detail, a choice needs to be made regarding how the peptides will eventually be presented in the bioassay. The options available to investigators are listed in Table 9.7.1.

For noncleavable peptide (NCP) kits, peptides are permanently bound on the solid phase (pin surface) and can be used for direct binding assays but not for interaction with living cells or other complex (e.g., multicomponent) systems. In this case, the peptides must be “regenerated” between repeat assays by disrupting the peptide-ligand interaction without damaging the peptide. The quantity of peptide made is very small (50 nmol), but it is sufficient to provide a high surface density of peptide for direct binding assays.

In the other options, peptides are synthesized on pins and then released into solution. The mechanism of peptide release into solution affects the postsynthesis handling and thus the suitability of peptides produced by each cleavage method for various assay systems.

For multiple peptide synthesis (MPS) kits, the released peptides have a “native” free acid or an amide carboxy terminus. To make free acid C-termini, it is necessary to use macrocrows that already have the first (C-terminal) amino acid on them because the chemistry of forming the first (ester) link is too difficult for the inexperienced user. In contrast, the Rink amide linker allows formation of a peptide with a C-terminal amide of any amino acid by adding the C-terminal amino acid to the Rink handle macrocrown using the standard amino acid coupling protocol. A Rink amide linker is a linker that can add an amino acid but then can be cleaved in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to release the amide form of that amino acid (Rink, 1987). Although acid or amine endings are often the most desirable peptide format to have, they are also the most complex to produce because the cleavage of the peptides from the pin is into neat TFA plus scavengers which needs to be evaporated to recover the peptide. The scale of peptide synthesis for MPS kits is 5 µmol (~5 mg of a decamer).

For glycine acid peptide (GAP) kits, peptides with a glycine at the carboxy terminus are cleaved as the free acid, so that the C-terminal residue is a natural amino acid (glycine) and is not blocked. The peptides are also relatively simple to release from the pin and require little postsynthesis handling. However, the presence of glycine at the C-terminus may be undesirable where the C-terminus plays an important role in peptide bioactivity. The scale of synthesis for GAP kits is 1 µmol (~1 mg of a decamer).

In diperazone (DKP) kits, peptides are synthesized with a DKP group at the C terminus. The DKP group is a cyclic dipeptide formed from C-terminal lysine and proline residues during the facile cleavage of the peptide under the mildest possible conditions:

neutral aqueous buffer. In applications where the presence of the DKP group is acceptable, this type of peptide can make the downstream processing of synthetic peptides very simple and fast. The peptides can be placed into a bioassay system immediately after completing the cleavage. The scale of synthesis for DKP kits is 1 μ mol (~1 mg of a decamer).

For these five kit options, it is also possible to choose a variety of N-terminal endings on the peptides. For example, it may be desirable to acetylate pin-bound peptides (see Support Protocol 2) to eliminate the positive charge that would otherwise be present on the α -amino group of the N-terminal residue, or to enhance the activity of a peptide in a T helper assay (Mutch et al., 1991). A handy option for cleaved peptides is to place a biotin group on the N-terminus (see Support Protocol 3) so the peptide can be captured using avidin or streptavidin. These additions must be made prior to side-chain deprotection of the peptides.

There are other configurations for multiple peptide synthesis—e.g., the SPOTS or “peptides on paper” system (Zenica/CRB), the RaMPS system (DuPont), and multi-synthesizer machines (e.g., Advanced ChemTech).

Assessing Peptide Sequences

Peptides differ so much in properties that it is important to assess the likely properties of the peptides before attempting to synthesize them. Peptide length and hydrophobicity are the two main attributes affecting successful synthesis. The longer the peptide, the lower will be the purity of the product, as each amino acid coupling cycle is never 100% efficient. Synthesis of peptides longer than 20 residues should be avoided unless special attention can be given to each sequence. Hydrophobic peptides may be difficult to synthesize, but more significantly they may be poorly soluble in aqueous buffers, restricting their ultimate usefulness in bioassays. Prior to beginning synthesis of a set of peptides, it is sensible to assess them all for hydrophobicity (Fauchere and Pliska, 1983; UNIT 9.3) and decide if all should be attempted as they stand. In many cases, it is possible to choose slightly different peptides (longer, shorter, or using a different starting and finishing point in the homologous protein sequence) that will have more user-friendly properties.

As well as these general factors affecting peptides, particular peptide sequences may have characteristics that make them difficult to synthesize, or they may be problematic after synthesis. It is not feasible to discuss all the common problems here. To help assessment of peptide sequences, a software application called Pinssoft is available free from Chiron Technologies. This allows any sequence to be typed in, and an assessment is automatically reported.

Generating Peptide Sequences

Computer software (Pepmaker) supplied with synthesis kits allows sets of overlapping peptide sequences to be generated from a protein sequence computer file using the single-letter amino acid code. Alternatively, sequences can be created using a word processor and the resulting computer text file can then be used by Pepmaker to guide synthesis. The use of this software simplifies the otherwise complex and tedious task of adding the right amino acids to each reaction plate on each synthesis cycle.

DO NOT expose pins to acetic anhydride at any other time except during acetylation. Also, do not store acetic anhydride anywhere near where peptide synthesis is performed.

The DMF does not need to be amine-free.

20% piperidine/DMF

Prepare a 20% (v/v) solution of the best quality piperidine available in analytical reagent-grade dimethylformamide (DMF). Prepare a fresh solution for each synthesis (solution can be reused several times within a synthesis). Store at room temperature in an amber bottle containing activated molecular sieves to remove moisture.

CAUTION: This solution is highly flammable and toxic.

If high-quality piperidine is not available, it may have to be treated with solid sodium hydroxide and redistilled.

DMF need not be amine-free.

Side chain deprotecting (SCD) solution

33 parts (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid

1 part (v/v) ethanedithiol

2 parts (v/v) anisole

2 parts (v/v) thioanisole

2 parts (v/v) H₂O

Prepare immediately before use and do not store or reuse

CAUTION: This solution is corrosive and extremely malodorous. Contamination of the laboratory, especially with ethanedithiol, should be avoided. Wipe the outside of ethanedithiol-contaminated equipment or containers with dilute, 0.1% aqueous hydrogen peroxide to oxidize ethanedithiol to a nonodorous compound before removing the container from the fume hood. DO NOT allow hydrogen peroxide to contact other readily oxidizable materials or reagents.

Sonication buffer

1% (w/v) SDS

0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2

0.1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME)

Store at room temperature up to 1 week

CAUTION: Before discarding sonication buffer, destroy remaining 2-ME by adding 2 ml 30% hydrogen peroxide per liter of buffer.

COMMENTARY

Background Information

The multipin method was developed by Dr. H.M. Geysen and coworkers (Geysen et al., 1984, 1987) as a scanning method for linear antibody-defined epitopes. Eventually in the late 1980s, the method was adapted to parallel synthesis of cleaved (soluble) peptides (Maeji et al., 1990), opening the way for systematic scanning of T helper (Reece et al., 1993) and cytotoxic epitopes (Burrows et al., 1994). Initially only suitable for synthesis of short peptides (up to 10 amino acid residues), the method can now routinely produce peptides of up to 20 residues of acceptable quality for initial screening experiments (Valerio et al., 1993).

**Synthesis of
Multiple Peptides
on Plastic Pins**

Critical Parameters

Successful peptide synthesis requires reagents of a quality appropriate to the particular step, and the careful application of those reagents. For example, the protected amino acids need to be free of reactive counterions such as dicyclohexylamine (DCHA), contaminating unprotected amino acid, isomers such as the D-amino acid, and water. Check carefully that the amino acid as supplied is EXACTLY the same as specified in the manual or on the software. Apart from quality testing each amino acid, the best assurance of quality is to buy only from reputable suppliers.

Dimethylformamide (DMF) is the primary solvent for carrying out reactions (couplings) on pins. Its low volatility and moderate polarity make it suitable for dissolving the amino acids and solvating the graft polymer/growing peptide on the pin surface. Purity is not critical for some (washing) steps, but is critical for the DMF used just before and during amino acid coupling. Presence of excessive amine in the DMF results in loss of activated amino acid because the amino acid couples to the amine rather than to the peptide on the pin. Fortunately, the pin system allows use of substantial molar excesses of incoming amino acid (typically 6- to 1000-fold), so loss of some amino acid is not disastrous. Fresh DMF of the best available grade should be used for the coupling, and it is recommended that the amine level be tested using the FDNB test (Stewart and Young, 1984).

Liberal use is made of methanol as a washing solvent. Analytical reagent grade methanol is readily available at low cost in large containers (20 or 200 liters) and is relatively easy to dispose of. It is possible to reduce the use of methanol by reusing it for washes: the last wash bath in any series should be in fresh (pure) methanol. In the next round of washes, the former last bath is then reassigned as the second-to-last wash, the previously second-to-last bath becomes the third-to-last, and so on. For each synthesis cycle, the first wash bath in the series is the one which is discarded. The presence of methanol is undesirable during reactions on the pins, but as it evaporates readily it can be easily removed by standing the block in a moving stream of air, such as the opening of an operating chemical fume hood. Methanol will dry more rapidly and the methanol-washed pins will take up less moisture from the air if the methanol is warm (e.g., prewarmed to 45°C in a closed bottle in a water bath).

Other solvents (e.g., ether, petroleum ether, acetonitrile) should be the best available grade.

Carrying out the correct synthesis of the peptides requires that all steps are performed with a very high level of attention to detail. All cyclically repeated steps (washes and deprotections) must be performed, and the activation and dispensing of the amino acids for each coupling cycle must be carried out exactly, or the peptides made may have the incorrect sequence, may be missing an amino acid, or may be truncated. Computerized equipment is available for assisting with the accurate dispensing of amino acids to the wells in a reaction tray (e.g., "Pin-Aid," Chiron Technologies; Carter

et al., 1992). The growing peptides must not be subjected to conditions that would prematurely block or deprotect the side chains (for example, from premature exposure to acetic anhydride or trifluoroacetic acid which should be stored well away from where peptide synthesis is being performed).

As a spot test for correct completion of all the steps of synthesis, it is wise to synthesize controls on each block of 96 pins. For noncleavable peptides, these controls can be peptide sequences that can be probed with an antibody known to react with the peptide. In this case, one of the two peptides should be a negative control, such as a randomized sequence. For cleavable peptides, the quantity and quality of the controls can be monitored by the usual techniques of HPLC (*UNIT 9.2*), amino acid analysis, and mass spectrometry. Ultimately, proof that an assay result is a function of the particular peptide made has to rely on a confirmatory experiment carried out with more highly-characterized peptide or on analysis of a sample of the particular peptide used in the experiment.

Once peptides have been made, they need to be handled and stored carefully to prevent degradation. Noncleavable peptides (pins) should be stored dry in a refrigerator after removal of any bound protein. If stored with desiccant they should be stable for months to years. Cleaved peptides can be stored frozen or as dry powder. After a long period of storage, it is wise to reassay controls or confirm the quality of the stored peptide by analysis.

Another parameter critical to data from large numbers of peptides is to ensure that the identity of each peptide is properly tracked and that activity is not ascribed to the wrong peptide. Consistent use of the 8 × 12 microtiter plate format for synthesis, storage, assay, and use of computerized records for tracking all three processes can help avoid mistakes. Tracking and control is particularly easy if the assay data is read directly from a microtiter plate reader to a computer that is programmed with the peptide information because this method avoids manual data transcription.

Anticipated Results

For a noncleavable pin-peptide synthesis, two control peptides, one of which is reactive with a monoclonal antibody in ELISA and the other serving as a nonbinding peptide control, should show the specific binding expected based on past data. For cleaved peptides, the yield of control peptide should be in the range

expected from the stated pin loading (substitution level), e.g., 1 μ mol for GAP and DKP kits or 5 μ mol for the MPS kit. Purity of the cleaved controls should be consistent with the results of previous batches and should be of an acceptable standard.

Testing of a systematic set of peptides in a bioassay can give data that is interpretable without recourse to additional controls, because a

systematic set of peptides through a protein includes many sequences that are unlikely to be reactive sequences, i.e., they act as internal negative controls. Figure 9.7.7 shows one set of ELISA data from scanning noncleaved peptides with a monoclonal antibody. In screening for T helper cell responsiveness it is critical to include many control cultures, not only controls with no peptide added but also controls




Figure 9.7.7 Multipin capture ELISA. (A) Setup for multipin capture ELISA. Pins (gears) with peptides covalently attached are incubated in primary antibody, secondary antibody, and substrate developer in ELISA plates. The absorbance is measured and the resulting absorbance values are graphed versus peptide number, corresponding to the N-terminal residue number of the peptide in the protein sequence. (B) Peptide pin capture ELISA results with a monoclonal antibody against pins bearing octamer peptides of gonococcal pilin protein. All the peptides that show high readings contain a significant portion of the epitope. (Diagram courtesy of Dr. Fred Cassels, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, D.C.)

with nonstimulatory peptide. Systematic sets of peptides automatically include such controls (Reece et al., 1994).

Time Considerations

If amino acid coupling is carried out at 3 cycles/day, which can fit into a conventional working day, then it will take up to 2 weeks to make a set of 15-mers, as there is extra time required for side chain deprotection and drying down (depending on the peptide format). Although this may seem slow, the fact that hundreds or thousands of peptides can be made simultaneously means that a project requiring large numbers of peptides is completed in a very short time. Indeed, the rate-limiting step may be the time it takes to carry out the assays on the large number of peptides when they become available.

From this perspective, biotinylated peptides produced on glycine acid peptide (GAP), diketopiperazine (DKP), or multiple peptide synthesis (MPS) pins have a great advantage over the noncleavable peptide (NCP) pin-bound peptides, as the latter can only be assayed once a day, whereas hundreds of parallel assays can be carried out on all biotinylated peptides at once. Reading data directly into a computer enables the massive amounts of data to be stored efficiently for later analysis.

Dispensing amino acids can be carried out efficiently by two people, one reading out the position into which the amino acid is to be dispensed and the other doing the actual dispensing. The passive partner (reader) can also act as a cross-checker to ensure no mistakes are made. If a computer-controlled pointing device is used, accuracy is improved and dispensing becomes a one-person operation. For large syntheses (>200 peptides), it is important that the dispensing be fast and accurate so that three couplings can be carried out per day.

Literature Cited

- Burrows, S.R., Gardner, J., Khanna, R., Steward, T., Moss, D.J., Rodda, S., and Suhrbier, A. 1994. Five new cytotoxic T cell epitopes identified within Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3. *J. Gen. Virol.* 75:2489-2493.
- Carter, J.M., Van Albert, S., Lee, J., Lyons, J., and Deal, C. 1992. Shedding light on peptide synthesis. *Bio/Technology* 10:509-513.
- Fauchere, J.L. and Pliska, V. 1983. Hydrophobic parameters of amino acid side chains from the partitioning of N-acetyl-amino-acid amides. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 18:369-375.
- Geysen, H.M., Meloen, R.H., and Barteling, S.J. 1984. Use of peptide synthesis to probe viral antigens for epitopes to a resolution of a single amino acid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 81:3998-4002.
- Geysen, H.M., Rodda, S.J., Mason, T.J., Tribbick, G., and Schoofs, P.G. 1987. Strategies for epitope analysis using peptide synthesis. *J. Immunol. Methods* 102:259-274.
- Maeji, N.J., Bray, A.M., and Geysen, H.M. 1990. Multi-pin peptide synthesis strategy for T cell determinant analysis. *J. Immunol. Methods* 134:23-33.
- Mutch, D.A., Rodda, S.J., Benstead, M., Valerio, R.M., and Geysen, H.M. 1991. Effects of end groups on the stimulatory capacity of minimal length T cell determinant peptides. *Pept. Res.* 4:132-137.
- Reece, J.C., Geysen, H.M., and Rodda, S.J. 1993. Mapping the major human T helper epitopes of tetanus toxin: The emerging picture. *J. Immunol.* 151:6175-6184.
- Reece, J.C., McGregor, D.L., Geysen, H.M., and Rodda, S.J. 1994. Scanning for Thelper epitopes with human PBMC using pools of short synthetic peptides. *J. Immunol. Methods* 172:241-254.
- Rink, H. 1987. Solid-phase synthesis of protected peptide fragments using a trialkoxydiphenylmethylester resin. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 28:3787-3790.
- Stewart, J.M. and Young, J.D. 1984. Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis, 2nd ed. Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Ill.
- Valerio, R.M., Bray, A.M., Campbell, R.A., Di Pasquale, A., Margellis, G., Rodda, S.J., Geysen, H.M., and Maeji, N.J. 1993. Multipin peptide synthesis at the micromole scale using 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate grafted polyethylene supports. *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.* 42:1-9.

Contributed by Stuart J. Rodda
Chiron Technologies Pty. Ltd.
Victoria, Australia